

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,879	07/27/2006	Tarek Ibrahim	17517RRUS03N	3516
Docket Clerk	7590 01/27/201	1	EXAM	IINER
PO Box 800889 Jama, Isaak r			SAAK R	
Dallas, TX 753	380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/587,879	IBRAHIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ISAAK R. JAMA	2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2010</u> .		
2a)🛛	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		

Dispo		

A

isposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
pplication Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

All	acı		CI	щ	5
41	V	Nic	٠+i.		

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) ivotice of informal Patent Application	_
Paper No/e)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 are currently pending in the Application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/26/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art i.e. the combination of Zaki and Ruan does not teach the following "receiving from the first access point the roaming candidate list identifying the plurality of candidate access points in the WLAN". The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's assertion. First and foremost, the current application's inventive steps is about from the measured SNRs of the candidate access points it is determined whether any of the measured SNR exceed a second threshold, and if so, those candidate access points are identified in a new association list. Tending to Applicant's arguments that Ruan fails to teach receiving from the first access point the roaming candidate list identifying the plurality of candidate access points in the WLAN: Ruan teaches that the wireless device maintains an access point list of wireless access points and corresponding access point association quality values. The wireless device can compare the corresponding access point association quality values to the association quality of the current wireless access point and/or the specified quality threshold value. The wireless device determines that the wireless device should attempt to associate with one of the at least one other wireless access points based on the comparison [Page 2, paragraph 0014], and that wireless device creates a candidate list containing one or more wireless access points from the access point list. The candidate list can include wireless access points that match a configuration

Art Unit: 2617

requested by the wireless device [Page 2, paragraph 0015], because the candidate list of Ruan includes the a list of Access Points that the wireless terminal is able to associate with when a handoff situation arises, Ruan teaches that receiving from the first access point the roaming candidate list identifying the plurality of candidate access points in the WLAN, therefore, the earlier rejection stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 7,164,915 (Zaki) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2006/0187873 (Ruan et al.)
- 4. As to claims 1, 2, 8 Zaki teaches a method of hand-off for a mobile terminal from a first access point to a second access point in a wireless local area network (WLAN) [Title], the method comprising: measuring in a mobile terminal signal to noise ratio (SNR) of first RF signals received from the first access point [Figure 2, step S32, column 2, lines 34-36]; if the measured SNR of the first RF signals exceeds a first threshold [Column 3, lines 20-26], measuring SNR of RF signals received from a plurality of candidate access points in a roaming candidate list stored on the mobile terminal; determining from measured SNRs of the candidate access points whether any

Art Unit: 2617

of the measured SNR exceed a second threshold [Column 3, lines 17-18; i.e. low SNR threshold1, and if so, identifying those candidate access points in a new association list; selecting one of the candidate access points in the new association list; and attempting to associate the mobile terminal to the selected candidate access point [Column 3, lines 50-64]. But Zaki does not specifically disclose that receiving from the first access point the roaming candidate list identifying the plurality of candidate access points in the WLAN. Ruan teaches a method of making roaming decisions based on association qualities between wireless devices and wireless access points [Title]. whereby the wireless device maintains an access point list of wireless access points and corresponding access point association quality values [Page 2, paragraph 0014], and that the station (wireless device) can maintain access point list, which includes entries for access points that are in communication with station. From time to time, station can update entries for access points contained in access point list. Each entry in access point list includes an AP identifier field, a quality parameter field, and an AP configuration parameters field [Page 5, paragraph 0044]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ruan in the handover system of Zaki in order to reduce the latency associated with roaming in wireless networks.

5. As to claim 3, Zaki further teaches a mobile terminal operable for wireless connection to one or more access points in a wireless local area network (WLAN) [Figure 1, # 14_{1N} and #s 10₁ and 10₂], the device comprising: means for measuring signal to noise ratio (SNR) of first RF signals received from the first access point

Art Unit: 2617

[Figure 2, step S32, column 2, lines 34-36]; if the measured SNR of the first RF signals exceeds a first threshold [Column 3. lines 20-261, means for measuring SNR of RF signals received from each of a plurality of candidate access points in a roaming candidate list: means for determining from measured SNRs of the candidate access points whether any of the measured SNR exceed a second threshold, and if so, identifying those candidate access points in a new association list; means for selecting one of the candidate access points in the new association list; and means for attempting to associate the mobile device to the selected candidate access point [Column 3, lines 50-64]. But Zaki does not specifically disclose that receiving from the first access point the roaming candidate list identifying the plurality of candidate access points in the WLAN. Ruan teaches a method of making roaming decisions based on association qualities between wireless devices and wireless access points [Title], whereby the wireless device maintains an access point list of wireless access points and corresponding access point association quality values [Page 2, paragraph 0014], and that the station (wireless device) can maintain access point list, which includes entries for access points that are in communication with station. From time to time, station can update entries for access points contained in access point list. Each entry in access point list includes an AP identifier field, a quality parameter field, and an AP configuration parameters field [Page 5, paragraph 0044]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ruan in the handover system of Zaki in order to reduce the latency associated with roaming in wireless networks.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,879
Art Unit: 2617

- As to claim 4, Zaki teaches a mobile terminal in accordance with claim 3 further comprising: means for associating the mobile terminal to a first access point in the WLAN [Column 2, lines 31-36].
- 7. As to claim 7. Zaki teaches a mobile terminal for communicating with one or more access points in a wireless local area network (WLAN) [Figure 1, # 14_{1N} and #s 10₁ and 10₂], the device comprising: a processor [Figure 3, # 24]; a transceiver coupled to the processor [Figure 3, # 22]; an antenna coupled to the transceiver for receiving and transmitting RF signals from and to the one or more access points in the WLAN (Figure 3, # 201; and wherein the processor is operable for; measuring signal to noise ratio (SNR) of first RF signals received from the first access point [Figure 3, # 24, column 3, lines 52-53], if the measured SNR of the first RF signals exceeds a first threshold [Column 3, lines 20-26], measuring SNR of RF signals received from each of a plurality of candidate access points in a roaming candidate list stored in the mobile terminal, determining from measured SNRs of the candidate access points whether any of the measured SNR exceed a second threshold [Column 3, lines 17-18, i.e. low SNR threshold], and if so, identifying those candidate access points in a new association list, selecting one of the candidate access points in the new association list, and attempting to associate the mobile device to the selected candidate access point IColumn 3, lines 50-641. But Zaki does not specifically disclose that receiving from the first access point the roaming candidate list identifying the plurality of candidate access points in the WLAN. Ruan teaches a method of making roaming decisions based on association qualities between wireless devices and wireless access points [Title].

Application/Control Number: 10/587,879 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

whereby the wireless device maintains an access point list of wireless access points and corresponding access point association quality values [Page 2, paragraph 0014], and that the station (wireless device) can maintain access point list, which includes entries for access points that are in communication with station. From time to time, station can update entries for access points contained in access point list. Each entry in access point list includes an AP identifier field, a quality parameter field, and an AP configuration parameters field [Page 5, paragraph 0044]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ruan in the handover system of Zaki in order to reduce the latency associated with roaming in wireless networks.

- 8. Regarding claims 10, 11 and 13, Zaki further teaches ranking the candidate access points in the new association list based at least in part by access point load information [Column 2, lines 37-40; i.e. The other system statistics may relate to the quality of service, such as delay bounds, bandwidth requirements (i.e. data rate), and frame error rate. In addition, an Access Point that cannot sustain any of the aforementioned QoS maybe construed as among other issues over-loaded].
- Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
 Patent Number 7,164,915 (Zaki) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2005/0138178 (Astarabadi) and further in view U.S. Patent Publication Number 2004/0246922 (Ruan et al.)
- 10. As to claims 5 and 6, Zaki teaches a mobile terminal in a wireless area network, in which the mobile terminal is associated with a first access point in the network

Art Unit: 2617

[Abstract], and signal to noise ratio (SNR) of first RF signals received from the first access point is measured [Figure 2, step S32, column 2, lines 34-36]; wherein a SNR of RF signals received from a plurality of candidate access points in a roaming candidate list are measured and it is determined from measured SNRs of the candidate access points whether any of the measured SNRs exceeds a second threshold [Column 3, lines 17-18; i.e. low SNR threshold], and if so, identifying those candidate access points in a new association list, the state machine transitioning from the first state to the second if the measured SNR of the first RF signals exceeds a first threshold IColumn 3, lines 20-261; a third state in which one of the candidate access points in the new association list is selected and an attempt is made to associate the mobile terminal to the selected candidate access point, the state machine transitioning from the second state to the third state if there is at least one candidate access point in the new association list [Column 3, lines 50-64]. And Astarabadi discloses a wireless mobility management system and method for identifying a group of wireless access points [Abstract], and that prior to communicating data, wireless stations establish an association with their corresponding access points [Page 3, paragraph 0033], and that a wireless station listens for beacons to identify APs within its communication range. After identifying AP, the wireless station and the AP may perform a mutual authentication by exchanging several management frames as part of the process. After successful authentication, the wireless station moves into the second state, authenticated and unassociated. Moving from the second state to the third and final state [i.e. fourth state], authenticated and associated, involves the wireless station

Art Unit: 2617

sending an association request frame and the AP responding with an association response frame [Page 3, paragraph 0034]. But, Zaki and Astarabadi fail to disclose that wherein the roaming candidate list identifying the plurality of candidate access points in the WLAN is received from the first access point. Ruan teaches a method of making roaming decisions based on association qualities between wireless devices and wireless access points [Title], whereby the wireless device maintains an access point list of wireless access points and corresponding access point association quality values [Page 2, paragraph 0014], and that the station (wireless device) can maintain access point list, which includes entries for access points that are in communication with station. From time to time, station can update entries for access points contained in access point list. Each entry in access point list includes an AP identifier field, a quality parameter field, and an AP configuration parameters field [Page 5, paragraph 0044]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Ruan in the combined system of Zaki and Astarabadi in order to reduce the latency associated with roaming in wireless networks.

11. Regarding claim 12, Zaki further teaches that the state machine in accordance with Claim 5 wherein the new association list is ranked based at least in part by access point load information. [Column 2, lines 37-40; i.e. The other system statistics may relate to the quality of service, such as delay bounds, bandwidth requirements (i.e. data rate), and frame error rate. In addition, an Access Point that cannot

Application/Control Number: 10/587,879 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

sustain any of the aforementioned QoS maybe construed as among other issues over-loaded].

- Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
 Patent Number 7,164,915 (Zaki) in view U.S. Patent Publication Number 2005/0138178
 (Astarabadi) and further in view of Alternative Wireless (Davi).
- 13. As to claim 9, Zaki teaches a wireless local area network (WLAN), the WLAN comprising: a plurality of sets of access points operable for communicating wirelessly with one or more remote client devices [Figure 1, # 14_{1N} and #s 10₁ and 10₂]. But Zaki fails to teach that each set of access points defines a cell having a predefined communication coverage area within the WLAN; a plurality of switches communicatively coupled to access points; and the access points in a first cell are operable for transmitting a roaming candidate list to a mobile device associated with one of the access points in the first cell, the list identifying one or more neighborhood access points. Astarabadi teaches that each access point defines a cell having a predefined communication coverage area within the WLAN [Figure 5, AP1-AP4], and a plurality of switches coupled to the access points [Figure 5, N1 and N2], and that the access points in a first cell are operable for transmitting a roaming candidate list to a mobile device associated with one of the access points in the first cell, the list identifying one or more neighborhood access points [Figure 2, # 450, Figure 3, # 468; column 3, paragraph 0041]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Astarabadi in the handover system of Zaki in order to manage the network by dividing into cells, and

Art Unit: 2617

facilitate seamless handoffs. But neither Zaki nor Astarabadi disclose that the communication coverage area of each defined cell is less than about 1000 square feet. Davi discloses indoor wireless networks constitute picocells, and that picocell coverage is in the order of 150 to 1000 square feet [Column 1, lines 5-12]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Davi into the combined system of Zaki and Astarabadi in order to implement the network in smaller area such as sporting arenas or lecture halls.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2617

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ISAAK R. JAMA whose telephone number is (571)270-5887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday; 4-10.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,879 Page 13

Art Unit: 2617

/IRJ/

/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617